Staff : MERLIN RAJATHA N R

Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024   Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024   Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 51   Overall Score : 93.5

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	80.39%	**727.75**
		Good	14.13	8	15.69%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	1	1.96%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	1	1.96%	**3.53**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	41	80.39%	**594.5**
		Good	11.81	8	15.69%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	1	1.96%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.96%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	80.39%	**307.5**
		Good	5.71	8	15.69%	**45.68**
		Fair	4.04	2	3.92%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	78.43%	**234**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	7.84%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.76%	**9.84**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	86.27%	**176**
		Yes	0.67	5	9.8%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.92%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	43	84.31%	**397.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	11.76%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.96%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.96%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	92.16%	**223.25**
		Too Fast	1.34	2	3.92%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.92%	**2.8**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	82.35%	**288.96**
		Some Times	3.89	8	15.69%	**31.12**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.96%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	94.12%	**324**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.92%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.96%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	47	92.16%	**540.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.92%	**2.66**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.92%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	41	80.39%	**461.25**
		Good	9.03	8	15.69%	**72.24**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	**4.06**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : AMEENA A.

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 50

Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 89.96

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	74%	**656.75**
		Good	14.13	10	20%	**141.3**
		Fair	10.28	2	4%	**20.56**
		Poor	3.53	1	2%	**3.53**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	72%	**522**
		Good	11.81	9	18%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	3	6%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	1	2%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	72%	**270**
		Good	5.71	10	20%	**57.1**
		Fair	4.04	2	4%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	2	4%	**2.26**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	37	74%	**216.45**
		10 to 25	3.66	5	10%	**18.3**
		More than 25	1.91	4	8%	**7.64**
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	8%	**6.56**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	84%	**168**
		Yes	0.67	5	10%	**3.35**
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6%	**4.95**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	72%	**333**
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	20%	**63.4**
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6%	**7.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	84%	**199.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	8%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8%	**5.6**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	80%	**275.2**
		Some Times	3.89	6	12%	**23.34**
		No	0.74	1	2%	**0.74**
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6%	**5.34**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	82%	**276.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8%	**11.12**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6%	**5.82**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	88%	**506**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	8%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	38	76%	**427.5**
		Good	9.03	9	18%	**81.27**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	1	2%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4%	**4.06**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : PREMACHANDRAN PILLAI D

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 49

Overall Score : 90.14

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	75.51%	**656.75**
		Good	14.13	8	16.33%	**113.04**
		Fair	10.28	1	2.04%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	6.12%	**24**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	**565.5**
		Good	11.81	7	14.29%	**82.67**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	6.12%	**12.27**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	77.55%	**285**
		Good	5.71	7	14.29%	**39.97**
		Fair	4.04	1	2.04%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	6.12%	**6.63**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	35	71.43%	**204.75**
		10 to 25	3.66	5	10.2%	**18.3**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.04%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	16.33%	**13.12**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	81.63%	**160**
		Yes	0.67	2	4.08%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	14.29%	**11.55**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	79.59%	**360.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	10.2%	**31.7**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	8.16%	**12.64**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	85.71%	**199.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.08%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.2%	**7**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	79.59%	**268.32**
		Some Times	3.89	4	8.16%	**15.56**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	12.24%	**10.68**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	83.67%	**276.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8.16%	**11.12**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8.16%	**7.76**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	83.67%	**471.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.12%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.08%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	3	6.12%	**12.09**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	39	79.59%	**438.75**
		Good	9.03	6	12.24%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	8.16%	**8.12**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.ASWATHY L B

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024	**Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A**
Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024	**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**
Users Completed : 49	**Overall Score : 83.99**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	67.35%	**585.75**
		Good	14.13	7	14.29%	**98.91**
		Fair	10.28	4	8.16%	**41.12**
		Poor	3.53	3	6.12%	**10.59**
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.08%	**16**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	33	67.35%	**478.5**
		Good	11.81	8	16.33%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	3	6.12%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	3	6.12%	**6.03**
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.08%	**8.18**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	65.31%	**240**
		Good	5.71	9	18.37%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	3	6.12%	**12.12**
		Poor	1.13	3	6.12%	**3.39**
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.08%	**4.42**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	36	73.47%	**210.6**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.16%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	4	8.16%	**7.64**
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	**8.2**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	75.51%	**148**
		Yes	0.67	6	12.24%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	12.24%	**9.9**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	33	67.35%	**305.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	18.37%	**57.06**
		Inadequate	2.50	4	8.16%	**10**
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.12%	**9.48**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	37	75.51%	**175.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	8	16.33%	**10.72**
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.16%	**5.6**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	34	69.39%	**233.92**
		Some Times	3.89	10	20.41%	**38.9**
		No	0.74	2	4.08%	**1.48**
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.12%	**5.34**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	34	69.39%	**229.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	6	12.24%	**16.68**
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	10.2%	**6.4**
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8.16%	**7.76**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36	73.47%	**414**
		Not Sincere	1.33	6	12.24%	**7.98**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	12.24%	**30.36**
		Blank	4.03	1	2.04%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	67.35%	**371.25**
		Good	9.03	8	16.33%	**72.24**
		Fair	6.46	2	4.08%	**12.92**
		Poor	1.56	3	6.12%	**4.68**
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	6.12%	**6.09**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024   **Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A**

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 49   **Overall Score : 93.27**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	39	79.59%	**692.25**
		Good	14.13	9	18.37%	**127.17**
		Fair	10.28	1	2.04%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	**565.5**
		Good	11.81	8	16.33%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	2	4.08%	**16.22**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	79.59%	**292.5**
		Good	5.71	8	16.33%	**45.68**
		Fair	4.04	2	4.08%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	81.63%	**234**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	2.04%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.12%	**5.73**
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	**8.2**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	85.71%	**168**
		Yes	0.67	3	6.12%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	8.16%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	79.59%	**360.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	16.33%	**50.72**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.08%	**6.32**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	91.84%	**213.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.04%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.12%	**4.2**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	81.63%	**275.2**
		Some Times	3.89	7	14.29%	**27.23**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.08%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	89.8%	**297**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	6.12%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.08%	**3.88**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	43	87.76%	**494.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.12%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.12%	**15.18**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	41	83.67%	**461.25**
		Good	9.03	6	12.24%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	1	2.04%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.04%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : MUHAMMED SHAHANAS N

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024   **Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A**

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 49   **Overall Score : 91.46**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	77.55%	**674.5**
		Good	14.13	7	14.29%	**98.91**
		Fair	10.28	3	6.12%	**30.84**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.04%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	**565.5**
		Good	11.81	7	14.29%	**82.67**
		Fair	8.11	2	4.08%	**16.22**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.04%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	77.55%	**285**
		Good	5.71	6	12.24%	**34.26**
		Fair	4.04	4	8.16%	**16.16**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.04%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	79.59%	**228.15**
		10 to 25	3.66	3	6.12%	**10.98**
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.12%	**5.73**
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	8.16%	**6.56**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	85.71%	**168**
		Yes	0.67	3	6.12%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	8.16%	**6.6**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	79.59%	**360.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	12.24%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.12%	**9.48**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	87.76%	**204.25**
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.08%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.16%	**5.6**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	81.63%	**275.2**
		Some Times	3.89	4	8.16%	**15.56**
		No	0.74	2	4.08%	**1.48**
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.12%	**5.34**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	85.71%	**283.5**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.08%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.08%	**2.56**
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6.12%	**5.82**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	85.71%	**483**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.12%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	8.16%	**20.24**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	40	81.63%	**450**
		Good	9.03	6	12.24%	**54.18**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	1	2.04%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.08%	**4.06**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.ABHILASH S.S.

Subject : EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed : 49

Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.06

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	77.55%	**674.5**
		Good	14.13	10	20.41%	**141.3**
		Fair	10.28	1	2.04%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	39	79.59%	**565.5**
		Good	11.81	9	18.37%	**106.29**
		Fair	8.11	1	2.04%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	77.55%	**285**
		Good	5.71	9	18.37%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	2	4.08%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	79.59%	**228.15**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.16%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.04%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.2%	**8.2**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	83.67%	**164**
		Yes	0.67	6	12.24%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.08%	**3.3**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	81.63%	**370**
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	16.33%	**50.72**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.04%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	89.8%	**209**
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.12%	**4.02**
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.08%	**2.8**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	79.59%	**268.32**
		Some Times	3.89	9	18.37%	**35.01**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.04%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	89.8%	**297**
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8.16%	**11.12**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.04%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	89.8%	**506**
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.12%	**3.99**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.08%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	75.51%	**416.25**
		Good	9.03	10	20.41%	**90.3**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	1	2.04%	**1.56**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.04%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject : HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024   **Batch : BTech KTU ME 2K23 A**

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 49   **Overall Score : 93.84**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	39	79.59%	**692.25**
		Good	14.13	9	18.37%	**127.17**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.04%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	40	81.63%	**580**
		Good	11.81	8	16.33%	**94.48**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	1	2.04%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	79.59%	**292.5**
		Good	5.71	9	18.37%	**51.39**
		Fair	4.04	1	2.04%	**4.04**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	43	87.76%	**251.55**
		10 to 25	3.66	3	6.12%	**10.98**
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.04%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	4.08%	**3.28**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	85.71%	**168**
		Yes	0.67	4	8.16%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.12%	**4.95**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	41	83.67%	**379.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	12.24%	**38.04**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.04%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.04%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	93.88%	**218.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	2	4.08%	**2.68**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	2.04%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	81.63%	**275.2**
		Some Times	3.89	7	14.29%	**27.23**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.08%	**3.56**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	89.8%	**297**
		Indifferent	2.78	3	6.12%	**8.34**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.04%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.04%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	89.8%	**506**
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	8.16%	**5.32**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.04%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	41	83.67%	**461.25**
		Good	9.03	7	14.29%	**63.21**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.04%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
- strength would be kindness weakness would also be kindness

Special Remarks
- thanku for your kind behaviour and positive mindset mam
- A GREAT TEACHER

Head of The Department